INFORMATION
JD-CR-71 Rev. 11-18

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number
CFS 21-323745	Connecticut State Police	2036396400

Title, Allegation and Counts

State of Connecticut vs. *(Name of accused)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Docket number
Boyne, Paul A.	Springfield, Virginia	

Address	Date of birth
8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22153	06/04/1961

To be held at *(Town)*	Geographical area number	Court date
New London	10	

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the State of Connecticut charges that:

Count One — Did commit the offense of:			Continued to	Purpose	Reason
Stalking in the 1st Degree (Emotional Distress)					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Groton	12/13/21 to Present	53a-181c(a)(4)			
Count Two — Did commit the offense of:					
Stalking in the 1st Degree (Physical Safety)					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Groton	12/13/21 to Present	53a-181c(a)(4)			
Count Three — Did commit the offense of:					
Stalking in the 1st Degree (ID Info / Emotional Distress)					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Groton	12/13/21 to Present	53a-181c(a)(4)			

[X] See other sheet for additional counts | Date 6/15/2023 | Signed *(Prosecuting Authority)*

Court Action

Defendant advised of rights before plea	Bond	Surety	[ ] 10 % [ ] Cash	Election *(Date)*
(Judge) (Date)				[ ] CT [ ] JY

[ ] Attorney [ ] Public defender	Guardian	Bond change	Seized property inventory number

Count	Plea date	Plea	Plea withdrawn Date	Plea withdrawn New plea	Verdict finding	Fine	Remit	Additional disposition
1						$	$	
2						$	$	
3						$	$	

Date	Other Court Action	Judge

Receipt number	Cost	Bond information
	[ ] IMP [ ] NCI	[ ] Bond forfeited [ ] Forfeiture vacated [ ] Forfeiture vacated and bond reinstated

Application fee - receipt number if paid	Circle one	Program fee - receipt number if paid	Circle one	Probation fee - receipt number if paid	Circle one
	W I Q		W I Q		W I Q

Prosecutor on original disposition	Reporter/monitor on original disposition	Signed *(Clerk)*	Signed *(Judge)*

INFORMATION
JD-CR-71 Rev. 11-18

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number
CFS 21-323745	Connecticut State Police	2036396400

Geographical area number	State of Connecticut vs. Boyne, Paul A.
10	

Additional Counts

Count Four — Did commit the offense of:			Continued to	Purpose	Reason
Stalking in the 1st Degree (ID Info / Physical Safety)					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Groton	12/13/21 to Present	53a-181c(a)(4)			
Count Five — Did commit the offense of:					
Electronic Stalking					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Groton	12/13/21 to Present	53a-181f(a)(1)			
Count Six — Did commit the offense of:					
Electronic Stalking					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Groton	12/13/21 to Present	53a-181f(a)(2)			
Count Seven — Did commit the offense of:					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			
Count Eight — Did commit the offense of:					
At *(Town)*	On or about *(Date)*	In violation of General Statute number			

Signed *(Prosecuting Authority)*	Printed name of Prosecuting Authority	Date signed
	JPD Doyle	6/15/2023

Additional Court Action

Count	Plea date	Plea	Plea withdrawn Date	Plea withdrawn New plea	Verdict finding	Fine	Remit	Additional disposition
4						$	$	
5						$	$	
6						$	$	
7						$	$	
8						$	$	

INFORMATION
JD-CR-71 Rev. 11-18

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number
CFS 21-323745	Connecticut State Police	2036396400

Arrest Warrant

Geographical area number	10	**State of Connecticut vs.** Boyne, Paul A.

To: Any Proper Officer of the State of Connecticut

Probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused committed it having been found, by Authority of the State of Connecticut, you are hereby commanded to arrest the body of the within-named accused.
("X" all that apply)

☐ A. Accused is ordered to be brought before a clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Court.

☐ B. Accused is not entitled to bail.

If A, B, or both are checked above, you shall, without undue delay, bring the arrested person before the clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Court for the geographical area where the offense is alleged to have been committed, or if the clerk's office is not open, to a community correctional center within said geographical area, or the nearest community correctional center if no such center exists in the geographical area, or to the York Correctional Institution, as the case may be.

☐ C. Bail set at _____.

☐ D. Non-financial conditions of release:

_____

_____

☒ E. Conditions of release not determined by court.

Extradition boundaries established by prosecutor
All 50 states
J. Doyle

By the Court	Signed *(Judge of the Superior Court)*	Date 7-17-23	Name of Judge *(Print or type)*

Return On Arrest Warrant

Geographical area number	Town of	Date	**State of Connecticut**

Then and there, by virtue of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, I arrested the body of the within-named accused and read the same in the hearing of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination.

Attest *(Officer's signature and Department)*

Date	Other Court action	Judge

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION
JD-CR-64b Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
P.B. §§ 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

For Court Use Only
Supporting Affidavits sealed ☐ Yes ☐ No

Police Case number	Agency name	Agency number
CFS 21-323745	Connecticut State Police	2036396400

Name *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Court to be held at *(Town)*	Geographical Area number
Boyne, Paul A.	Springfield, Virginia	New London	10

Application For Arrest Warrant

To: A Judge of the Superior Court

The undersigned hereby applies for a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused on the basis of the facts set forth in the: ☒ Affidavit Below. ☐ Affidavit(s) Attached.

Date	Signed *(Prosecuting authority)*	Type/print name of prosecuting authority
6/15/2023		Doyle

Affidavit

The undersigned affiant, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That Your Affiant, Detective Samantha McCord #926, has been a member of the Connecticut State Police Department since 2004, and a deputized FBI Task Force Officer since 2018. At all times discussed hereafter, Affiant McCord was acting in her official capacity. Your Affiant is currently assigned to the Connecticut State Police Computer Crimes Unit (CCU) and has been trained in specialized investigations including Internet child exploitation, National Center for Missing Children (NCMEC) Cybertips, Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) undercover investigations, and computer forensics.

1. On June 25, 2020, a complaint was received by Sergeant Richard Alexandre of the Connecticut State Police from then-Connecticut Chief State's Attorney Richard Colangelo that related to the online harassment of Connecticut Superior Court Judges. Sergeant Alexandre obtained information from Colangelo that numerous Connecticut Superior Court Judges had been harassed online on a website called TheFamilyCourtCircus.com. Subsequent to this complaint, Sergeant Alexandre was advised that the Marshals in the Connecticut Court Special Operations Unit had information related to a suspect, Paul Boyne, as part of an ongoing investigation they were conducting. Sergeant Alexandre assigned Your Affiant to investigate.

2. Sergeant Alexandre provided printouts from the website TheFamilyCourtCircus.com (AKA "the blog"). Sergeant Alexandre advised Your Affiant of the link to a Paul Boyne, as he was the subject of an ongoing investigation by the Deputy Chief Marshals Office of the Connecticut Court Special Operations Unit for similar complaints in the past. Sgt. Alexandre advised Your Affiant to obtain copies of any investigative material from the judicial investigation into Paul Boyne.

3. On or about July 17, 2020, Your Affiant obtained a flash drive from the Connecticut Court Special Operations Unit and the drive contained copies of the Unit's "Paul Boyne electronic case files. The flash drive contained a voluminous number of documents/emails related to Paul A. Boyne (DOB 6/4/61) of 8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, VA, and was related to past threat assessments of him. The investigation related to past investigations involving various Connecticut Superior Court judges (assessments dated between 2013 -2020) and linked Boyne to a "familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com" online blog.

(This is page 1 of a 22 page Affidavit.)

Date		Signed *(Affiant)*
6/15/23		926
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*

Finding

The foregoing Application for an arrest warrant, and affidavit(s) attached to said Application, having been submitted to and considered by the undersigned, the undersigned finds from said affidavit(s) that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused committed it and, therefore, that probable cause exists for the issuance of a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused.

Date and Signature	Signed at *(City or town)*	On *(Date)*	Signed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referee
	Hartford	7-17-23		COLL

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION
JD-CR-64b Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
P.B. §§ 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

Name *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	Residence *(Town)* of accused	Court to be held at *(Town)*	Geographical Area number
Boyne, Paul A.	Springfield, Virginia	New London	10

Affidavit - Continued

4. Between approximately June 26, 2020 and July 30, 2022, TheFamilyCourtCircus.com website was monitored by the Connecticut Court Special Operations Unit. The Unit forwarded any blogs that caused distress or fear in their personnel to Sergeant Alexandre and/or to Your Affiant. Also, during this time, several Connecticut State Police troops/units received multiple complaints from named public service employees related to fear and alarm caused by reading TheFamilyCourtCircus.com and its references to violence against their person.

5. On or about February 8, 2021, Judge Patrick L. Carroll III, Chief Court Administrator of the Connecticut Judicial Branch notified Special Court Operations that several of his judicial staff had been mentioned by name on the blog in various postings relating to "assassinations" and to ".50 caliber ammunition." Judge Carroll deemed the posts threatening and "elevated . . . to a new and even higher level of concern . . . [because they] . . . may encourage or incite an angry and unbalanced individual to take action." Judge Carroll expressed concern for the safety of his staff and their families and asked for additional assistance from State Police in obtaining statements from any complainants willing to give formal statements. Review of the blog by State Police Computer Crimes and Major Crimes Units shows that TheFamilyCourtCircus.com site contained a long chronological listing of writings that have escalated in threatening speech to specific state judges, court personnel, State of Connecticut legislators, as well as private citizens.

6. That investigation of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com by State Police Computer Crimes and Major Crimes revealed multiple blog articles that constituted targeted threats against specific Superior Court Judges between January of 2021 and July of 2022. Specifically, multiple blog posts concerning the deaths of Judges Jane Grossman, Thomas Moukawsher, and Elizabeth Stewart, describe their recent rulings, list their residential addresses, post and/or link to photos of their residential addresses, and threaten the use of firearms against them. In addition to the threats on their lives, the postings describe a level of surveillance -- both on their courtrooms and residences --that caused each individual Judge annoyance and alarm, emotional distress, as well as an individualized fear for their physical safeties, based on the disclosure of their personal identifying information. The posts target these individual Judges on the basis of their perceived Religion and/or Sexual Orientation.

7. In addition, the blog makes multiple references, in different posts, to a state of Connecticut Supreme Court case, State v. Edward Taupier. The Taupier case involved threats directed at Judge Elizabeth Bozzuto by a former family court litigant. The Taupier case, cited by the blog, includes specific language about caliber of ammunition, tactical killing requirements, and the residential address of Judge Bozzuto. The author of the blog in this case is aware of the threating language used in the Taupier case, and uses it throughout the blog postings at issue in the present case. Some of the specific language used on the blog (e.g., ".308 [caliber]," shot through "double pane" glass) comes directly from the Taupier court opinion. Your Affiant has reviewed the Supreme Court's opinion in Taupier and notes that, Paul Boyne was one of the recipients of the threatening e-mail at issue in the Taupier Case.

The specific blog posts at issue against the complainants in this case will be discussed in more detail below.

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)* 926	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

THE FAMILY COURT CIRCUS POSTS

8. On January 28, 2021, the blog posted an article entitled, "Judge Grotesque." The article provides, in relevant part:

"Time for constitutional criticism of the nasty evil ***dykish Judge Jane Grossman*** of the Connecticut family court. In Amurika, all citizens can watch the Super Bowl, as it is played in a public forum. The Grossman court is also a public forum, of which all activities by all who appear are subject to scrutiny and ridicule by We The People. This annoys our beloved Jane to no end. She actually threatened a mother with bad things for sharing public information with the public on matters before the court.

Jane knows full well that her court is in the public domain, ***only a dykish jew suffering from undiagnosed mental delusional disorders would pretend otherwise.*** Judge Jane has isolated three kids from their mother since April of last year...280 days of imprisonment by abusive dad. The Mother is not a criminal, the state has not accused her of neglect or of being a harm to her kids, but Judge Jane has inflicted intentional emotional distress and psychological harm on the kids for no stated purpose. What goes on in Jane's dungeon? Not the application of law, not the protection of rights, not the will of the sovereign people. Christians believe the children are sacred gifts from God, deserving of constant love and attention by their mothers. Obviously Judge Jane is not a Christian, nor does she hold any compassion for children, she probably enjoys pulling wings off of flies, as well. Mia, Matthew, and Sawyer have need to hug mom. Connecticut statute 46b-56(b) requires the court to ensure `active and consistent involvement' of both parents, federal law defines forced isolation of children from a parent as child abuse. So what is Judge Jane doing? Inciting eminent lawless action by patriots? ***Is she begging for a .308 shot to the head thru two panes of window glass from an oath keeper, concealed in the woods behind her house? A quick .50 cal to the head, delivered thru the back window of her car?*** A good ole colonial tar and feathering, followed by a toss off the Middletown Bridge, followed by a headless float down the Connecticut River? ***The tree of liberty thirsts for a tyrant's blood!!***

***

Judge Jane is begging for something. Jewdicial conduct which brings harm to children begs its own destruction. Casual review of the Ambrose case reveals Jane is a domestic terrorist, flaunts the law, abuses children, and inflicts psychological harm ... ***does she have a death wish?*** Target for death rays from the space station? A conflagration by Jake Baker? The main roast for Gil Valle's barbecue? Is she the devil incarnate or the anti-Christ herself? ***How does one kill the devil?***

Jane serves no purpose in law or in humanity by ordering isolation of a child from a parent. There is no State interest. She works for the dark side, which has its own consequences. ***She has no place to hide and her court is being watched ... closely.***"

9. Two days later, on January 30, 2021, the blog posted a second article entitled, "She's a Witch," which provides, in relevant part:

"Grossman does not even know the law. Stating from the bench that the court is causing severe

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge, Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge, Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

stress and anxiety to the mother by isolating the children from her, then claiming that such stress is harmful to the mother, then speculating that there will be an episode due to the conduct of the court, clearly proves that the judge is acting in a harmful manner against a qualified individual under the Act, which makes the state liable for deprivation of federal rights protections.[ ] Another example of the Connecticut court operating outside the rule of law to affect the goals of zionism to undermine the order of the host society. Again the famous blog recognizes Nigger Boy Robinson and the heretic Michael Albis for allowing Grossman to hold a Witch Trial. Robinson being just a black-faced puppet on jewish strings, devoid of principles of law.

Of paramount concern of the court is the suspicion of mother's disability ... another Nutmeg violation of federal law.

The famous blog notes that in this September hearing, Jocelyn Hurwitz was present. She is the $400/hr GAL who is tasked by the court to uphold the best interests of the kids. She did nothing but smile while the court accused her wards' mother of being a Witch. Jocelyn is a partner at the very jewish law firm of Cohen&Wolf, who profits handsomely by trampling goyish civil rights under the color of state dissolution law. Their insurance company should be trembling at this point. Managing partner Ari Hoffman has some explaining to do.

Judge Grossman entertains allegations that mother is a Witch, then isolated the kids from mother. Because mother and the kids opposed the draconian isolation order, Grossman found that mother is a Witch and that the unhappiness of the children proves they are under the spell of a Witch. Grossman is now worried that the Witch might do something bad, so the court will soon order the possessed children drowned in a lake to eradicate the witchcraft, while the Witch is burned at the stake, while the lawyers rejoice all the way to the bank...the real purpose of family court.

When does Judge Grossman and her pal Hurwitz get the witchcraft of a .50 cal to the head? Would anyone be surprised?"

10. On December 12, 2021, the blog posted an article entitled "Budlong, Osborne & Duell," in which it criticized a recent Judge Moukawsher ruling, and ended with the statement that Moukawsher worthy of a .50 cal to the head. The article stated:

"Moukawsher is a half-assed twit who whines and complains about the ***jewish form of family court***, but is spineless to make any remedial orders from the bench. If his ruling is to be believed, he has the power to void all legal fees, ***but he won't, as money is the true god of the jews who run family court.***

Moukawsher is jewdicial shit worthy of a .50 cal to the head."

11. The next day, on December 13, 2021, and in apparent escalation, the blog posted Judge Moukawsher's home address, calling for its destruction by Molotov cocktail. The article entitled, "Betsy Moukawsher," targeted the Moukawsher family and lists the Moukawsher family residential address with a hyperlink to Google maps. Clicking the address link brings up a picture of the Moukawsher home In Google Maps. The

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk-Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

article provides the following:

"A sovereign people hold the parens patriae powers enacted thru the family court, ***evil judges*** who abuse children and fleece family bank under the deception of `best interests' ***may soon feel a righteous wrath that no immunity shield will protect.***

Groton Police Department will have to pull a bunch of overtime, as the mob is woke to a judicial child predator living at lest a parental militia marches in peaceful protest for protection of children against monsters in black robes, holding a candlelight vigil, with bottles of Snapple, refilled with refined petroleum products, and plugged with rags." [Your Affiant notes that, based on her training and experience, these ingredients are found in an incendiary device commonly known as a Molotov cocktail].

12. On December 22, 2021, the blog posted an article that called for the "hunting" of a local family law attorney, Robert Deichert. In the article, entitled "Hunt Deichert!" the blog insinuated that, because of the "jews in black robes," certain named members of the Connecticut family court bench and bar --including Judges Grossman, Moukawsher, and Stewart --should go into hiding. The article provided:

"Deichert loves the fact that ***jew Judge Grossman*** cannot follow the law, harms children, and inflicts intentional emotional harm. Deichert/Tong team finds that the purpose of ***jews in black robes*** is to ignore the law, fuck over the kids, hate mothers, that is what Deichert argues on behalf of all citizens across the state. ***Think the Deichert family needs to go into hiding? Tong's too? Grossman, Adelman, Aldrich, Hurwitz, Moukawsher, Conway, too? Is there enough overtime in State Police budget to protect them all from the angry mob?*** Why would the police defend child abusers from `we the people', as most cops love their mothers."

13. On April 3, 2022, the blog targeted Judge Stewart in an article entitled, "Fuck Off!" In this article, the blog discloses Judge Stewart's residential address and notes that "a well regulated parental militia," armed with ".50 cals might be on the move with night scopes," while Judge Stewart is forced to hide in her basement.

"The now-famous Blog applies First Amendment scrutiny to discretionary matters in a public forum of Bridgeport Superior Court by ***jew Judge Elizabeth Stewart, in the cesspool of Connecticut. How jews override rule of law, shred the Constitution to fuck up goy children in an evil quest to destroy the concept of family, degrade motherhood, and herd four legged calves into the slaughterhouse of humanity. Oi vey, are there enough .50 cals for all the chosen heads in need of redemption? What other remedy remains to protect the children from the monsters in black robes and their pet flying monkeys?***

***

There are no state approved vendors to provide psycho services to family court, so how does a jew like Stewart order something that the state does not recognize? The jewish mafia overrides the rule of law with a little word salad and vagueness. Stewart orders therapy but leaves it up to others to recommend the highly qualified therapist to execute the vague order. Note, vagueness is a mark of the beast, a red flag

Date 6/15/23		Signed (Affiant)	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 06-15-2023	Signed (Judge/Clerk/Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)	
Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official)	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

indicating unconstitutional jewdicial misconduct. See if you can follow the scam. Jew judge orders therapy on recommendation of the GAL, calls it re-unification, no diagnosis, jew GAL makes inquiry to jew custody evaluator, jew therapist provides names of fellow jews who meet the vague description penned by jew judge, then BOOM! A list of four highly recommended jews: Beth Karassik, Eric Frazer, Linda Smith, John Collins. All deviant jewish filth who are now blessed by the court to interfere with the private lives of goy families, further spreading jewish poison to damage the kids. Note how that little jewish circle jerk violated the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment? ***The court of Jew Stewart improperly delegates its authority into the cesspool of jewish vultures. Pretty slick!!! But Blog sees all, where Elizabeth Stewart will soon cower in her chambers in fear of the approaching mob, a vat of tar and a sack of feathers awaits the jewish shitbag. Might find her hiding in her basement at in Hamden as well. Her communist effort at being a judge will provide sustenance for crustaceans of Long Island Sound when her headless corpse slips beneath the surface, dragged down by a soggy black robe with a Practice Book chained to her waist. Another domestic terrorist deposed.*** Life, liberty, and the pursuit of all who threaten it.

From what pseudo authority does Stewart spew forth an unqualified prescription of 'therapy' to children not joined in suit, in falsely assumed post judgement jurisdiction? The jew puppet legislature enacted CGS §46b-56(i) in 2003, which reads: (i) As part of a decision concerning custody or visitation, the court may order either parent or both of the parents and any child of such parents to participate in counseling, provided such participation is in the best interests of the child. The jewish word salad standard of 'best interest of child' is the catch all unconstitutional usurped authority to order anything for a child, as long as it provides revenue for a jew. In Stewart's latest jewdicial shit show, she blesses Dr. Robert Horowitz and scoundrel Lisa Kerin with $250/hr in undefined, unspecified, unregulated gifted revenue streams. Stewart trips up. The parents in this case have custody of the kids, not the State, so only the parents can make healthcare decisions for their kids, not the jew judge with the mental defect of failing to recognize the limits of the Fourteenth Amendment. ***A well regulated parental militia, necessary for the protection of children, having bare arms and .50 cals might be on the move with night scopes."***

14. The following month, on May 12, 2022, the blog again threatened violence against Judge Stewart in an article entitled, "Jew Court." This article similarly threatened that Judge Stewart would have to "cower in her basement," as a result of her recent court rulings. The blog post provided:

"***These Connecticut jews*** seek to conceal their traitorous acts from the victimized children by gagging the parents from discussing the ***jew orders*** with their own children, such being a gross invasion of parent-child bonds, having no cause in law for a no-fault divorce. See how slippery a jew in a black robe can be to defeat the First Amendment? Discussing the presence of the anti-christ or Satan's existence on the bench violates the establishment clause, but ***jew cunts like Stewart are too stupid to recognize civil liberties, devouring childhood is a jewish delicacy***. SCOTUS ruled two decades ago that these constitutionally protected bonds are not the realm of state jewness to fuck with. The Fourteenth Amendment prohibits jews like Stewart from restricting parental expression with children. Did you not see the jewness applied to harm the children? ***Any reason Judge Elizabeth Stewart should not cower in her basement as the angry mob sets fire to her house?***

Date 6/13/23		Signed (Affiant)	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 06-15-2023	Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)	
Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official)	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

15. Thereafter, on May 21, 2022, the blog advocated for "expunging Jews" from the Connecticut Superior Court via the "Second Amendment," and questioned whether it was "time to kill them all," naming, among others, Judges Grossman, Moukawsher, and Stewart. The post, entitled "Jew Posterboy!" provided:

> "***The Second Amendment holds purpose to expunge jews from the courts. Adelman, Moukawsher, Heller, Grossman, Truglia, Albis, Bright, Wetstone, Diana, Stewart, Welch, Aarons, the present jews following the ideology of Solomon, Emons, Munro, Dranginis, Gruendel, Ment, Libbin, Peters, Katz, Borden, all jews, all twisting jewdicial discretion into law to void public policy, raping childhood for the financial gain of jews: Dembo, Cuda, Bozek, Gerstein, Cousineau, Dornfeld, Brigham, Hurwitz, Laliberte, Knopf, Freed, Finch, Collins, Horowitz, Caverly, Smith, Freedman.***
>
> ***Time to kill them all? Who would shed a tear?***"

16. On June 8, 2022, in an article entitled, "Where?" the blog's author again threatened death to Judge Mukawsher. The Post itself provided:

> "Where is the statutory authority for retarded Judge Thomas Moukawsher to act as accuser, judge, jury, and executioner in the summary disbarment of a zealous advocate? Where is it? ***C'mon, someone who can count to Fourteenth Amendment draw a bead on Mouk's retarded cranial cap; crack a skull, eliminate another terrorist from the bench. RIP moron!***
>
> Why is society cursed with these self-chosen assholes? Is it Kundry's revenge? Jewish deceit upon the goy? Judge Moukawsher can opine of fictitious helicopter door gunners mowing down little brown rice farmers, a twisted character in a twisted movie of no intelligent purpose, for some reason it sticks in Mouk's mind spilling into a judicial opinion, having no purpose in law. ***Sort of like Mouk, having no purpose in law, no purpose in life, no one will miss him when zapped by a patriot's death ray, dismembered by F35 smart bombs or just boiled in excrement for eternity.***
>
> Here is the constitutional problem with Moukawsher's summary disbarment of mom's lawyer in the Ambrose case ... lack of authority. Mouk the moron cites PB§2-45, a `rule', not law, but a rule created under CGS §51-14, which is the state law granting rule making authority for matters of litigation, not of regulating or disciplining lawyers. The practice book `rule' cannot defeat the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment, rules cannot expand nor abridge rights, rules are not law. ***Is Mouk so retarded he cannot recognize that he begs his own lynching by acting as accuser, judge, jury, and executioner? He draws a bullseye on his own forehead, begs a patriot's duty. Amazing that a well regulated militia with bare arms and .308 ball has not already popped his skull, splattered his grey matter, and fed him to crusty the crab and pals in the Sound.***
>
> Summary disbarment is an undue process not codified in law, not related to litigation, and is another example of how the jewdiciary usurps power reserved to a sovereign people for its own jewish purposes ... criticize the jews, be instantly disbarred ... how un-American, more like tyranny of a rabbinical court straight

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge, Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

out of the talmud. The twisted rules are cleverly written to trick the goy. PB§2-44 sez the Superior Court may disbar for JUST CAUSE. ***Criticism of jewish players in family court is now JUST CAUSE? C'mon Mouk, who are you kidding. It is JUST CAUSE when Mouk gets a .50 cal to the head,*** but who gives a shit what a lawyer sez in court ... If the gloves don't fit, must acquit!! Then slippery rule PB§2-45, suspends due process if the cause occurs in court. The jews construct an exception to due process based on geometry, no complaint or hearing if expression of the jewdiciary is made in front of Mouk, instant wrath authorized, protected speech if on the sidewalk. A total jewish defeat of 1st, 5th, and 14th. ***Jews are slick, but 2nd has flash, bang, and recoil.*** Reality remains, the practice rule of summary disbarment is not authorized by statute, rules being only for litigation, practice rules cannot defeat the Bill of Rights, and the obvious, that Mouk cannot be accuser, judge, jury, and executioner. But jews don't care, they will get their pound of flesh, they will make the goy grovel, they wipe their asses with the Constitution, they are jews. More zyklon b!!!! Summary Second Amendment is just life, liberty, and the pursuit of all who threaten it. Mouk gonna hate dat.

Editor's Note: A singular accuser, judge, jury, executioner is tyranny, Sic Semper Tyrannis, death unto tyrants. ***Some judges cannot recognize the line when they cross it. The Tree of Liberty is very thirsty.***" [Your Affiant notes this is a reference to the following quote attributed to Thomas Jefferson: "The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants."]

17. The post entitled "Where?" also included a photoshopped image of Judge Moukawsher in the crosshair sight of a firearm.

18. Then, on June 16, 2022, in an article entitled "Charles B. Andrews," the blogger --apparently displeased with Judge Moukawsher's recent suspension of attorney Nickola Cunha --"begs" for the judge to receive "a .50 cal to the head," writing:

"The state is still cursed with Chief Justice Andrews' misconduct. How the politic lasted from 1750 to 1890 without this vengeful tyrannical power is a curious conspiracy question. What nutmeg corruption festered in the state bar that Andrews was able to shred the 5th and the 14th Amendment? Why did the Connecticut courts need such power? Why other states do not? Today Judge Thomas Moukawsher relies on Andrews' tyranny to disbar a family court attorney, respected by the community, which she serves with zealous advocacy of protecting mother-child bonds. Jew judges Peters, Borden, Grossman, Adelman, Solomon, Katz rape childhoods, but advocates who challenge jewdicial horror are disbarred by Andrews' rule of 1890. The now-famous worst Blog identifies the source of the unconstitutional practice rule, zealous advocates call out the jews of the family court, today's rules committee are domestic terrorists. ***The judicial vengeance of Judge Moukawsher begs a .50 cal to the head.***"

THE COMPLAINANTS

19. Judges Stewart, Grossman, and Moukawsher, all provided statements to state police, as well as Judge Moukawsher's wife, Elizabeth "Betsy" Moukawsher.

20. On April 3, 2022, Judge Stewart gave the following sworn statement to state police:

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

"On April 4, 2022 at approximately 1300 I was informed by another judge Lisa Egan that my photograph, and my address on a website called "TheFamilycourtcircus.com. Lisa Egan texted me the link to an article written about me. The article was about a custody case I was the judge for. The article stated about the ruling of the custody of children. In the article my home address was given, along with a statement saying "Oi vey, are there enough .50 cals for all the chosen heads in need of redemption?"

The title of the article was labeled as "Fuck off." In the article many anti semitic language was used. For example "Jewdicial branch", "Jew cunt Elizabeth Stewart", Jew Judge Stewart". I believe they are trying to intimidate judges in making custody decisions in divorce cases. In total that I know 4 Judges in this building have been targeted on this website.

The custody case the article is referring to is Suzanne Compos v.s. Joao Compos Docket number FBT-FA-20-6094918. Intimate details of the case was posted in the article and not to my knowledge were the transcripts requested. Another case I believe that can be connected is Christopher Ambrose vs Karen Ambrose Docket number FBT-FA-19-6088163. From this case details were posted in a separate articles which Judge Grossman, Judge Welch, Judge Adelman, and Judge Moukawsher. Named During that case a lawyer was disbarred, Nickola Cunha. During that case I covered for a small portion.

Nickola Cunha was involved in contentious cases in CT. The fact that my address was posted online I am in fear for my safety. The house is in my husbands name so they had to do some digging to find my address. I suppressed my addressed in 2017. Common theme is about child custody."

21. On April 18, 2022, Judge Grossman provided the following sworn statement:

"I first learned of the threats' against me on Paul Boyne's website, the family circus, on Saturday, January 30, 2021. A colleague, who has also been threatened on this site, monitors the site regularly and called [me at home] to tell me what had been posted about me. I contacted the Chief Court Administrator and the Deputy Chief Court Administrator and the Judicial Marshals. They contacted the state and local police.

Since that time I have thought about these threats almost every day. It has changed the way I think about work and home.

Initially I was extremely upset; these posts describe shooting me through the windows of my home by someone hiding in the woods behind my house. ("Is she begging for a .308 shot to the head thru two panes of window glass from an oath keeper, concealed in the woods behind her house?") The fact that Paul person knew the layout of my house, my property and the neighboring properties was terrifying. I focused on the amount of specificity and planning that went into this: was he actually at my house at some point, or in my yard, or my neighbor's yard? I worried about when this might have happened. I scoured my security camera history and examined our property for evidence of someone's presence.

The room described in this threat is actually a favorite part of my home. It became difficult for me to

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

relax in that space; instead of simply enjoying the view, as I had in the past, I found myself scouring the areas, looking for people hiding in the woods.

What adds to my feeling of vulnerability is that Paul has threatened to shoot me not only in my home but also in my car (A quick .50 cal to the head, delivered thru the back window of her car?) It is alarming that he is apparently debating what caliber of gun to shoot me with and from what location. I also find it disconcerting that he knows I drive a car and not a truck or SUV. This made me worry he may have been observing me come and go from home or work.

Also disturbing is that I am apparently being targeted based upon my gender and my family's Jewish heritage. ("Dykish jew" "nasty evil dykish" "Obviously Judge Jane is not a Christian" "Jewdicial conduct"). This focus of violence against me based upon my gender and my heritage makes it feel like it has nothing to do with my work or my decisions. Instead, it seems like Paul, this disturbed individual, is singling me out based on things I cannot change.

I have taken steps to make my home, my property and my family more secure. Some of this was inconvenient and expensive but I am afraid I will not be able to relax or feel safe at home unless I maintain these changes. I was forced to warn my family not to talk about me or my work to anyone or respond to any inquires about me. I had to warn my neighbors, explain the increased police presence on our street and ask them to report anything unusual. These threats against me have had a wide reaching impact. This has cost me money and time and peace of mind and it caused my family and neighbors, people who care about me, to worry for my safety. The entire experience has made me feel more vulnerable, impacted how I feel about work and changed how I think about my home and property."

22. On June 22, 2022, Judge Moukawsher gave the following written responses under penalty of perjury.

a. When did you learn about the site/blog?

"I heard about the blog from other judges somewhere around one or two years prior to my being assigned to the Family Division in September 2021."

b. From whom?

"It came up in conversation with one or more judges. I do not remember who raised the topic."

c. When was approx. first time you read the site?

"It was most likely in 2019 or 2020."

d. When was the last time you read the site?

"I last looked at the site in the Spring of 2022."

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court/Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

e. Do you know dates/titles of blogs as they relate to you?

"I did not record them because I believed they were being tracked by others, but the references began when I took up my assignment as presiding judge of the Regional Family Trial Docket in September 2021 and they have continued regularly since then."

f. Have you seen portions of the site as it relates to others and if so, who?

"I have seen postings on the site concerning Judge Adelman, Judge Grossman, Judge Diana, Justice Katz, Chief Justice Robinson, Judge Carroll, Judge Albis, Attorney Nancy Aldrich, Attorney Jocelyn Hurwitz, and former attorney Nickola Cunha."

g. What are your primary concerns about the family court circus.com site as it relates to you (or others or institutions?

"My primary concern is that I, family, friends, colleagues, or attorneys may be killed. The blog has repeatedly contemplated my murder and given detailed ways to carry it out, including posting a picture of my house with statements about how it could be burned. It has considered what types of bullets are necessary to pierce double panes of glass such as those I have on my home, and depicted me being shot with 50 caliber bullets. I was most recently made aware of a posting of my head inside the image of a rifle scope discussing drawing a bead on my "retarded cranial cap; crack a skull eliminate another terrorist front the bench. RIP moron."

I tried a case in front of federal Judge Joan Leskow in the years immediately before 2005 when her husband and mother were murdered by a disgruntled litigant. I was deeply disturbed by this attack and have never stopped reflecting on the tragic loss of these innocent people and what this must have done to a dedicated public servant, wife, and daughter. I am aware of the murder of federal Judge Esther Salas's son in 2020, the recent murder of a Wisconsin judge, and the recent thwarted attempt on the life of Justice Brett Kavanagh. Given what has been blogged and against this backdrop of increased violence against judges, I take these statements as serious statements of an intent to murder me.

As a consequence of these continued threats on my life, I have been taken away from my official duties and the enjoyment of my private life to consult with law enforcement and security specialists. I have been compelled to spend thousands of dollars of my own money taking security precautions that have left me, my family, my neighbors and friends with fear and anxiety that should not be an acceptable byproduct of my choice to serve my state.

I am also deeply concerned about the fear and harm I have seen flowing from these threats while administering justice. The blog has made repeated claims that Connecticut family court judges are part of conspiracy organized by pedophile judges and Jews to enslave and trade Connecticut children. The obscene and continual allegations against members of the judicial system are creating intimidating

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk-Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

circumstances for legal professionals in the system and litigants.

In the case of Ambrose v. Ambrose, the blog baselessly and in coordination with others such as the publisher of the Frank Report accused Christopher Ambrose of being a pedophile whom the court, DCF, and the police were knowingly shielding.

Tragically, these repeated blog lies were adopted in court by Karen Ambrose and her Attorney Nickola Cunha. In late 2021, Ms. Cunha moved to disqualify Judge Gerard Adelman from this case expressly claiming that Judge Adelman was part of a Jewish conspiracy to protect pedophiles. She claimed that the Department of Children and Families (DCF) had found that Christopher Ambrose had sexually abused his children and that Judge Adelman refused to stop it. These accusations ground the already much delayed proceedings to a halt.

I presided over a hearing that revealed that DCF had thoroughly investigated the matter and had found the opposite of what Ms. Cunha claimed. It found that Mr. Ambrose did not abuse his children and that they were safe with him. At the hearing, Ms. Cunha also ultimately admitted that she had no evidence of any Jewish conspiracy. She said she got the information from people she just met who were gathering information about the conspiracy online. Her claims matched those of the blog.

During the remote hearing over a half dozen persons attended and chatted insulting and accusatory messages over the Teams chat function, disrupting the hearing and requiring this function to be disabled in subsequent hearings. One of the few persons to post a name with their chat used the name "Alex Jones" --a person frequently in Connecticut court-and led criticisms of judges and Jews.

Consistent with the recommendations of the Chief State Disciplinary counsel, I later disbarred Ms. Cunha for her misconduct. Rather than defend her right to practice, she lashed out at the court, repeating baseless allegations consistent with claims from the blog. After she was disbarred, the threats against my life on the blog intensified and were more frequent.

In another recent case that came before me, one party revealed that the other party had been in touch with the blogger and filed with the court blog page copies he claimed were for the purpose of intimidating his client, a physician with a divorce pending. As with others, the doctor was accused of being a pedophile. His lawyer complained bitterly that the blogger was working in tandem with the party in the case to disrupt the litigation and place his client in fear.

I am told that the blog has begun targeting other judges and cases not listed above. I have not read about those. I have only read the blog on any occasion to evaluate threats against my life. So that I wouldn't have to look at it again, in the Spring of 2022, I asked a law enforcement official to alert me to new threats. I believe that incidents like those above, show that the blogger is attempting through intimidation of parties and judges to interfere with the administration of justice in general and individual proceedings before the court in particular."

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk/Commissioner of Superior Court/Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

h. Do you feel there is an imminent threat from the actual person posting and why?

I do fear imminent serious physical injury, specifically murder. First, I fear the blogger will act personally. The blogger's personal entanglement with the courts has greatly increased in recent months. I believe the blogger is in touch with people appearing in front of me and is coordinating actual not hypothetical moves against me and against others on the court. There is also a new intensity in tone and content. Discussion of murder has replaced mere mockery and hyperbole on the blog. I fear this rising tone amid the current violent atmosphere in the country will cause the blogger to act. I have noted the blogger's carefully chosen words. To me, the blogger's word choices are merely a thin screen with which he hopes to elude justice and achieve his goal --my death or that of other Connecticut family judges.

If the blogger does not act personally, I fear the blogger will use a third party to kill me. The blog has repeatedly modeled the behavior it seeks --fifty caliber rifle shots, calls for massed AR-15s, and images of my head with a scope on it calling for me to be eliminated --my cranium cracked with gun fire. In a time of violence in general and violence against judges in particular, if the blogger does not act directly, I fear the blogger will act indirectly by recruiting and instructing someone to carry out the violence threatened --my murder. To me, threatening to have someone else murder me is the same as threatening to do it personally."

Do you know Paul Boyne?

"No, but he is commonly believed to be the blogger. I have no personal knowledge that he is the blogger."

23. Also on June 22, 2022, Judge Moukawsher's wife, Elizabeth "Betsy" Moukawsher also submitted the following written responses under penalty of perjury.

a. When did you learn about the site/blog?

"I heard about the blog in January 2022."

b. From whom?

"My husband, Superior Court Judge Thomas G. Moukawsher."

c. When was approx first time you read the site?

"January 2022."

d. When was approx last time you read the site?

"Last month in May, 2022."

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk/Commissioner of Superior Court/Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

e. Do you know dates/titles of blogs as they relate to you?

"I don't really remember specific dates or titles."

f. Have you seen portions of the site as it relates to others and if so, who?

"My husband and my family. Other Judges were also under attach, but I don't personally know them or recall their names."

g. What are your primary concerns about the family court circus.com site as it relates to you (or others or institutions?

"Honestly, if you read the direct threats made by this blogger toward my husband, you wouldn't need to ask this question. My husband, my family, and my neighbors are all at risk of injury or death because of this blogger. This blogger is encouraging irrational individuals to walk past our home and toss Snapple bottles filled with a flaming accelerant to intentionally burn it down. The blogger is inciting vicious attaches on my husband, using .22 or a .50 caliber gun to kill him. How about accusing my husband of heinous crimes against children and against me? Wouldn't you be concerned?

My office at the Groton Town Hall is open to the public. The door is always open. I am more than concerned that someone who has taken the lessons from this blogger and open fire on me and or my employees. There is no way to stop this from happening unless the man is arrested and tried for his crimes. He has taken away my basic right to live peacefully and without fear."

h. Do you feel there is an imminent threat from the actual person posting and why?

"Yes, I certainly do believe that at any moment this blogger or a cohort will attack my husband and I or destroy our home. Considering the recent attempt on U.S. Supreme Justice Cavanaugh's life in his home, the murder of a retired Judge from Wisconsin, and the arson-murdered family in Worcester, Mass., you bet I feel an imminent threat from the blogger. We have had to totally change the way we live, by following security professionals advice and altering our home life.

Please don't waste any more time, grab this guy and make him face a trial of his peers."

Do you know Paul Boyne?

"No, but I believe he is the blogger we are discussing, but I don't know him."

INVESTIGATION INTO PAUL BOYNE

24. On or about January 25, 2022, Your Affiant and Connecticut State Police Detective George Jupin obtained a state search warrant for TheFamilyCourtCircus.com website content, billing and subscriber identification (including IP logins) and executed said search warrant on the Electronic Service Provider, GlowHost.com,

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

LLC, located in Florida.

25. On or around February 11, 2022, GlowHost.com, LLC provided Connecticut State Police with TheFamilyCourtCircus.com data including subscriber and domain logins/logs. Billing data shows the subscriber Client Username is paboyne@icloud.com with Signup IP 68.100.87.172 with a Sign up Date of August 26, 2021 (later determined to resolve to Cox Communications subscriber: Peter B. Boyne of 8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, VA). Billing Contact is Paul Boyne of Company BCG International at Address 8105 Creekview Drive Springfield, VA and paying with a Visa Credit Card (ending in 4728) for Personal Hosting Plan for thefamilycourtcircus.com. On or around August 4, 2021, Assistant United States Attorneys Margaret Donovan and Neeraj Patel (Disctrict of Connecticut) began review of this case. AUSA Donovan obtained a "2703(d) order" in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. The application for an Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 2703(d) was to identify the subscriber of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com. On or around March 26, 2022, the 2703(d) Order to Cox Communications was submitted. On or around April 25, 2022, the Connecticut State Police received the Cox response for the aforementioned submitted IP addresses. All the IP addresses were linked by Cox Communication to one subscriber; Peter B. Boyne at address 8105 Creekview Drive in Sprinfield, VA 22153-1923, with home phone 703-569-2554, and a work phone of 703-927-3868 and other phone 571-318-0080.

26. Based on Your Affiant's investigation and the complainants' sworn statements, state police sought an interstate warrant for digital evidence relating to the crimes of Threatening, Stalking, and Harassment from Paul Boyne. As a result, a signed and sworn affidavit seeking physical digital evidence was subscribed to by Your Affiant and Watertown Police Department Detective Mark Conway. It was endorsed by Former Executive Assistant State's Attorney John Doyle for submission to the proper authorities in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

27. That a background of Paul A. Boyne (DOB 6/4/61) of 8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, VA, shows he was formerly a resident of Glastonbury, Connecticut. He was investigated by the FBI for cyberstalking/threatening speech on a similar website found at URL https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/dpress.com. A federal search warrant was conducted at the residence on January 19, 2017. No arrest was made in that case.

28. Based on the Connecticut affidavit, on June 28, 2022, the Commonwealth of Virginia authorities obtained a search and seizure warrant for the residence of 8105 Creekview Drive in Springfield, VA, for the seizure of evidentiary property, either owned or used by Paul Boyne, used to facilitate the crimes of Threatening Death or Serious Bodily Injury to a Person, in violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia; Communicating a Written Threat to Kill or Do Bodily Injury to a Person or Family Member in violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia. The seizure list included: Computers, or a "computer system," data storage devices, and cellular telephones.

29. On June 30, 2022, Virginia authorities executed the aforementioned search and seizure warrant at 8105 Creekview Drive in Springfield, VA, in relation to the offense of Communicating a Written Threat to Kill or Do Bodily Injury to a Person or Family Member in violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia.

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

30. On June 30, 2022, Your Affiant identified fifteen (15) items of potential evidentiary value, including two laptops and two cellphones. Your Affiant assisted in the distinguishing of property owned or used by Paul Boyne, versus any other residents, per the Virginia court order. Your Affiant determined from the homeowners, Peter and Eleanor Boyne (the parents of Paul Boyne) that they were the only other residents of the home, besides Paul Boyne. They indicated that that the Windows platform items were used by Peter and his wife. The Apple platform laptops belonged to and were used exclusively by their son, Paul Boyne. Based on these statements, and since computer manufacturer names are listed on items, two Apple computers and cell phones were seized along with items within the work area of the Apple devices.

31. During the search of the residence, Your Affiant identified Paul Boyne, who was present, by a copy of his license photo, and afforded Boyne the opportunity to speak as he sat on the front steps. Your Affiant informed Boyne that he was not under arrest. Boyne was asked if he wanted to sit in the Virginia State Police trailer for a more comfortable and recorded conversation. Boyne said no, he wanted to stay on his front step. Your Affiant explained she would use a Go Pro to record, instead.

32. Boyne proceeded to volunteer that he knew what the warrant was related to: "A repeat of the FBI warrant," minus the breaking down of the door. Your Affiant asked what that related to and he said, "Same thing you are here for. . . Let's recap . . . Lisa Tuddy, an FBI agent wrote out a search warrant . . . went to a federal district court in VA . . . told the judge she has a search warrant application [about] all those memes being created." [Memes are internet communications that get propagated across internet platforms]. Boyne stated that the memes were "written about in CT Law Tribune . . . because all the lawyers in CT were following it."

33. Boyne then brought up the name and sexual orientation of Connecticut Superior Court Judge Bozzuto, "Who I'm sure is probably involved in this . . . She made a complaint to her friend, Lisa Kline Tuddy, who this 'meme maker' had taken pictures of from her Facebook page . . . Bozzuto had a fake Facebook page picture of her family, girlfriend, she's a lesbian."

34. Boyne continued to discuss the pervasiveness of the internet "memes" [that led to the initial search warrant on his residence from federal agents in 2017). He described the memes as "a sensation of the lawyers in Connecticut because everybody would follow it and laugh at them, they were hilarious." Your Affiant asked who everyone was and Boyne responded, "Well the lawyers. And Norm Pattis. You know who Norm Pattis is, right? He wrote about it in the Law Tribune . . . word he used was screed . . . . It's all in the Law Tribune . . . people complained to twitter and the account would get shut down."

35. Your Affiant asked who started it and Boyne replied, "What difference does it make? Lots of people. I can get a picture of you and Mike Volpe in Chicago would write a great story about McCord [Your Affiant] who drove all the way to Virginia and broke the door and believe me I'll send him a picture of the door . . . Maybe they scrubbed you off the internet but if there's a picture I'm sure he'll find it . . . he'll write about CT attacking people."

36. Boyne continued to "walk me thru 2017" and the search warrant of his house where the charge was "federal

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)* 9:26	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk/Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

cyber stalking" and "two grand juries [were convened] in CT for [Boyne's] suspected criminal activity; the "same thing you are here for." The recording cut out and started again with Boyne bringing up the topic of the Connecticut Attorney General William Tong speaking to the Connecticut General Assembly and how Tong was still on YouTube talking about, "People in the line of fire and mentioned what you are hunting, the blog, and he says he's routinely threatened by it . . . . Everyone is like that's pretty funny because he's a lawyer and he told the legislature that he's being routinely threatened and if he's being threatened in the criminal sense he should have done something about it. . . . Miss McCord you are going to be famous just like Lisa Tuddy . . . You've been played . . . Who was behind [this], Bozzuto? . . . not sure how far I should ramble to make an outline for you . . . What's [the] charge, communicating written threat? . . . there's not communication here . . . the internet is like [a] bulletin board on my front lawn . . . go away, no one forced you to read it . . . the thing doesn't broadcast, you have to go find it . . . so how was a threat communicated? . . . what's the communicated threat that's referenced in your search warrant . . . is there a victim here . . . there's a lot of people who have been hurt, very severely, by the family court of Connecticut and everyone has every right to say whatever . . . ."

37. Boyne then mentioned different Connecticut Family Court cases and Judges and children's names then continued, "I will quote AG [Tong] as he actually named the website in his testimony. And then here was a reporter in New Haven who published it, the name of the website that was threatening Tong . . . it was published the day after Tong testified to the committee that he was threatened by the website [on or around March 10, 2021]."

38. That the State of Virginia authorities seized, bagged and numbered fifteen (15) items (Items #1-#15) of property and turned over items #2 through #15 to the custody of Your Affiant and the Connecticut State Police. The items were transported back to Connecticut State Police Computer Crimes Unit where they were stored as evidence for forensic examination. Item #1, a black iPhone 8 found on Boyne's bed, was retained by Virginia State Police to retain volatile memory. This phone was unable to be analyzed due to inability to bypass the pass code security. Seized itemized list is as follows: (1) One black iPhone 8; (2) One notebook with brown cover; (3) One Toshiba external 1TB drive; (4) One Small black notebook; (5) One sheet of lined notebook paper' (6) One White iPhone A1532; (7) Ten CDs; (8) One Transcend StoreJet 25 160GB drive; (9) One Seagate external drive 1TB; (10) Two notebooks; (11) Two USB/thumbdrives; (12) One Samsung cell phone sn:R21F22LDV2J; (13) One Motorola Razor Cell phone; (14) One Apple Macbook laptop computer A1707; and (15) One Apple Macbook laptop computer A1398. Virginia State Police Item #15, an Apple Macbook laptop computer belonging to Boyne, was entered into Connecticut State Police evidence as Item #19 and entered into State of Connecticut Division of Scientific Services as submission #010.

POST-SEIZURE ARTICLE CONCERNING JUDGE GROSSMAN

39. After Boyne's laptop was seized on June 30, 2022, and after he had access to the unsealed warrant affidavit, there was a retaliatory posting on the blog that referenced the contents of Judge Grossman's April 18, 2022, sworn statement. Specifically, on July 28, 2022, in an article entitled "Audi A4 Quattro," the author disclosed Judge Grossman's home address, a picture of her home, as well as the make, model, and vehicle identification number (VIN) of the car she drove, as a response to reading her complaint in the warrant affidavit to seize his computers. The article provided, in relevant part:

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk-Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

The now-famous Blog of the free speech kind, participating in public debate, the essence of self-government, makes note of whining by Connecticut miscreant Judge Jane Grossman who is shocked and appalled that the public knows she drives a 2018 Audi A4 Quattro, all wheel drive, four door sedan, Her irrational alarm over existence of her publicly taxed property manifested by untreated mental defect, suffering of delusions that tax rolls are a threat to her safety, that she is above the law, because she wears a black robe, traffics children, and that no one should know she drives a car registered in her name. The lady has lost her marbles.

The taxman does not care who you are or what you think of yourself. ***If you own an Audi A4, it will be entered on the grand list and taxed; property at will be listed and taxed, regardless of the owner's occupation or mental defects.*** Blog now formally questions fitness of Judge Jane K. Grossman under Canon 2.14, Disability and Impairment, which requires Branch management to take action, addressing mental defect, untreated psychosis of a judge who has irrational mental response to living in a free society of peasants that is somehow beneath her delusional grandeur of being above all. Like anyone forced her to be judge? Stepping on the public stage before a critical audience, trafficking children in a public forum begs criticism and ridicule. Political debate is not for the faint of heart, protection of children is a fundamental right of a people. Like peaceful assembly of parents on a public street in front of her house is not going to happen? First Amendment does not exist in the unmedicated mind of delusional Jane?

Don't like public attention for severing mother-child bonds, then don't wear the robe. Jane is not cut out to be a judge, she is a danger to herself, children, society, and the Constitution. Resigning from the bench makes the world a better place. A medical leave of absence allows state funded health insurance to provide expert psychiatric care, medication, inpatient treatment, electroshock therapy, lobotomy, treating irrational anxiety of public expression, ***First Amendment, boogey man in the woods, or visible in rear view mirror, on public roads; consequences of living in the land of the free and the home of the brave. Perhaps after a year of compliant treatment, demonstrated progress towards becoming a human being, she can be reassigned to traffic court.***

40. Included in the article "Audi A4 Quattro," was a photo a Judge Grossman and a photo of her home residence. And although this post was published *after* Boyne's computer was seized in Virginia, the exact personal photo of Judge Grossman that was used in the article was found on the hard drive of Boyne's seized computer, among numerous other inculpatory digital artifacts detailed below.

FORENSIC ANALYSIS OF BOYNE'S COMPUTER

41. That on or around July 28, 2022, forensic search warrants from the State of Connecticut Superior Court were obtained for the seized electronic items from Boyne's residence. The items were submitted to the State Forensic Lab for examination seeking recovery of computer artifacts within specified date ranges that related to Your Affiant's investigation: 1/19/19 to 1/21/19, 10/15/20 to 10/17/20, 12/30/20 to 1/1/21, 12/30/20 to 1/1/21, 2/4/21 to 2/7/21, 2/16/21 to 2/18/21, 3/7/21 to 3/9/21, 11/22/21 to 11/24/21, 12/21/21 to 12/23/21, 1/5/22 to 1/7/22, 4/30/22 to 5/2/22 and 6/7/22 to 6/9/22.

Date 6/15/23		Signed (Affiant)	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 05-15-2023	Signed (Judge/Clerk/Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)	
Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official)	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

42. On or around August 25, 2022, the forensic report and accompanying data from one of the two Apple laptops that were seized from Paul Boyne's possession was turned over to Your Affiant from State Forensic Examiner Mark Newth (the other laptop was encrypted and no other unencrypted computers were seized). The unencrypted laptop contained electronic evidence including photos of judges and their residences, along with searches of their addresses that were conducted by the laptop user, suspected to be Boyne, as detailed below.

43. Forensics for the time periods specified above show that for the dates corresponding to blog postings, the person using the laptop did connect to the administrator login section of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com page (https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/), which is Titled "Dashboard < Family Court Circus - WordPress." The login is apparently successful as the user is redirected to the "Log In < Family Court Circus - WordPress" page and then to the actual Editing/Posting page, "Posts < Family Court Circus - WordPress" at URL: https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/edit.php.

44. The forensics also show that, on December 31, 2020, the person using the laptop sent several emails from paboyne@gmail.com --an e-mail address known to this Affiant to be used by Paul Boyne as of the drafting of this affidavit --to attorney Lawrence Dressler at lawrencedressler@gmail.com. One email includes a quote, "Shakespeare said to kill all the lawyers" and writer states: "Smart guy . . . the local militia should make it a New Year's resolution." Another email includes a link back to a blog on TheFamilyCourtCircus.com website (https://thefamilycourtcircus.corn/2020/12/30/bang-bang/) which talks of the murder-suicide of Connecticut Attorney John Liquori and his wife and includes a quote, "One less lawyer in the world. God works in mysterious ways."

45. The forensics also show that the person using the laptop checked the "Stats and Insights for Family Court Circus" numerous times during the periods listed above. This is notable because, upon completion of the search warrant at Boyne's residence on June 30, 2022, TheFamilyCourtCircus.com blogs continued. The blogger, apparently Boyne, mentions details from the unsealed Virginia search warrant on his residence and the victims listed therein. Additionally, the blogger, having administrator access, apparently recognized that the Connecticut Judicial domain was being used to access the blog and instituted a website access rule whereby any CT.GOV domain IP address could not view the blog. Users attempting to access the site from any CT.GOV domain saw the error/message, "NO BLOG FOR ASSHOLE TODAY."

46. Forensics show that on October 16, 2020, the person using the laptop connected to the administrator login section of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com page (https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/), which is Titled "Dashboard< Family Court Circus - WordPress." The login is apparently successful as the user is redirected to the "Log In < Family Court Circus - WordPress" page and then to the actual Editing/Posting page, "Posts < Family Court Circus WordPress" at URL: https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/edit.php. The forensics also show that the person using the laptop connected to a public source person finder website called "Radaris-Deep Data Finder" and visited a website that contained the personal information of a Connecticut Assistant State's Attorney (the information consisted of her phone number and home address).

47. Additionally, forensics for this time period of January 29, 2021 through January 31, 2021, show that the

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk/Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

laptop user did a Google search on the residential address of Judge Grossman and completed several Google Map Queries for the residential address of Judge Grossman. The person using the laptop connected to the administrator login section of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com page (https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/), which is Titled "Dashboard < Family Court Circus - WordPress." The login is apparently successful as the user is redirected to the "Log In < Family Court Circus - WordPress" page and then to the actual Editing/Posting page, "Posts < Family Court Circus - WordPress" at URL: https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/edit.php. During this approximate time frame, a post was made to the blog website entitled, "Judge Grotesque." This article was detailed in Paragraph 7 of this affidavit. It alluded to a .50 cal shot to Judge Grossman's head through the back window of her car and made mention of an "oath keeper" concealed in the woods behind her home.

48. It was during this time period between January 29, 2021 and January 31, 2021, that the blog posted a similarly threatening article toward Judge Grossman on January 30, 2021, entitled, "She's A Witch!" This article was detailed in Paragraph 8 of this affidavit and includes the text: "When does Judge Grossman . . . get the witchcraft of a .50 cal to the head? Would anyone be surprised?"

49. It should be noted that on January 30, 2021, the forensic report also shows that the person using the laptop checked the "Stats and Insights for Family Court Circus: numerous times around this time period. (https://wordpress.com/stats/day/clicks/thefamilycourtcircus.com? startDate= 2021-01-30.)

50. As referenced in Paragraph 16 of this affidavit, the June 8, 2022 blog post entitled, "Where?", identifies Judge Moukawsher and includes a photo of him that has been superimposed into a crosshair (a fine wire or thread in the focus of the eyepiece of an optical instrument used as a reference line in the field or for marking the instrumental axis --used figuratively to describe someone or something being targeted, as if through an aiming device on a firearm having crosshairs). The post states, "***It is JUST CAUSE when Mauk [blog's nickname] gets a .50 cal to the head[?]***" The post includes a second photo of Judge Moukawsher, while on the bench, and has the caption, "Given the domestic terrorism, several bullets needed."

51. Forensic examination of Boyne's laptop revealed edit and login access to TheFamilyCourtCircus.com on June 8, 2022, the same day the article "Where?" was posted. Forensics also revealed that both images of Judge Moukawsher that appeared on TheFamilyCourtCircus.com were on the laptop seized from Boyne.

52. Boyne's laptop also contained evidence showing a modus operandi to threaten other Connecticut Superior Court Judges and family law attorneys, using similar intimidating language. For instance, in a blog post dated February 17, 2021, entitled, "Linda Allard," the blog discusses Linda Allard's nomination to be a judge of the Superior Court. The post discloses her home address and a picture of her residence and makes similar references to "beg[ing] a .50 cal to the head," "night scopes," and "long range sniper shots thru two panes of glass." The post provides:

"Linda Allard is exactly the type of moron who has no business being on the bench. Another blackish puppet doing her jewish master's bidding. ***Why not honk while driving by her house at***
The woods behind her house provide cover and concealment for disgruntled

Date 6/15/23		Signed (Affiant)	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 06-15-2023	Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)	
Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official)	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee)	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

white litigants who will appear before her black bench, to ***hurl racial slurs with a night scope***. Paint 'black lives matter' on the sidewalk. ***Wake up her white neighbors with megaphones and gunshots***, remind them of their evil whiteness that oppresses the fat black lady next door. Share the deep grief, pain, and outrage that poor, oppressed, victimized Linda Allard feels everyday, living in West Hartford's structure of racism.

The last thing Connecticut needs is another confused jurist making decisions on the color of her skin and the imagined failures of a free people. Demented discretion of the self-pitied need not apply to the bench. The political agenda of stacking the court with misfits has a sinister purpose engineered by jews who are masters of damaging host societies for their benefit. The stench is overwhelming. ***Only the Second Amendment can save the people from these political parasites, .308 ball ammo preferred. Does Allard beg a .50 cal to the head, just to please her masters?*** Or will thoughts of death rays from the space station, F35 smart bombs, ***long range sniper shots thru two panes of glass*** from the grassy knoll remind her that in the land of the free and the home of the brave, propaganda of critical race theory is seen as subversive activity by domestic enemies of the Constitution. Let freedom ring! ***Linda Allard's concept of justice is rather lacking, as she will soon to find out.***"

53. Forensics during the time period when the article "Linda Allard" was posted, show that, on February 17, 2021, the person using the laptop connected to the administrator login section of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com page (https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/) which is titled "Dashboard < Family Court Circus - WordPress." The login is apparently successful as the user is redirected to the "Log In < Family Court Circus - WordPress" page and then to the actual Editing/Posting page, "Posts < Family Court Circus - WordPress" at URL: https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/edit.php. The laptop user also conducted several Google searches of then-attorney Linda Allard's voter, property, and address records as well as a Google Map query for her residential address.

54. The blog post referenced in Paragraph 11 of this affidavit, entitled "Hunt Deichert!", warned that members of the Connecticut Family Law bench and bar should "go into hiding" because of "an angry mob." It was posted on December 22, 2021, and identified a specific Connecticut government attorney by name, and a specific caliber of ammunition put to his head. Specifically, the post states, "***Do not underestimate the force of a single .308 ball to the head, or the accuracy of a well adjusted night scope, and fine trigger control***" and also lists the home residence of Attorney Robert Deichert. The post also includes a picture of Deichert with his family and lists his residential address. Deichert informed law enforcement that he was afraid for his family after this posting and had multiple patrol checks conducted at his residence.

55. Forensics for the time period surrounding the posting of this article show that the person using the laptop connected to the administrator's login and editing section of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com page on December 22, 2022, and searched the name of Robert Deichert on Google as well as searched his residential address on Google Maps.

56. A post on the website, dated November 23, 2021, identifies Connecticut family law attorney Candace Fay and states that she is a "jew's jew. Profiting off the misfortune of others. Her mother must be proud!" The

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23

Affidavit - Continued

post further states: "Is Candace Fay in line for a .50 cal to the head or is there a Red SUV in her future?" The post includes a photograph of a bullet and a red sports utility vehicle (SUV). It is believed that the reference to the "red SUV" is a reference to an incident earlier that week in the state of Wisconsin where 5 people were killed when a red SUV drove through a holiday parade.

57. Forensics for this time period show that on November 23, 2022, the person using the laptop connected to the administrator's editing section of TheFamilyCourtCircus.com: https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-admin/edit.php and also accessed the "Two-Factor Authentication < Login Security < Family Court Circus - WordPress" page (two-factor authentication is a higher level of security to login to a secure site). Also on this date, the laptop user searched for the name of "Candace Fay" and "red suv."

Wherefore, Boyne's recorded statements to Your Affiant in June of 2022 demonstrate that he was actually aware that his postings were being viewed by the many attorneys and Judges of the Connecticut bar. That the digital forensic evidence recovered in this case from Boyne's computer establishes that Boyne had administrative access to the FamilyCourtCircus.com site at relevant times, and was actively monitoring the blog. Boyne's computer was found to contain many physical artifacts (such as pictures, searches, etc.) that were identical to ones found or referenced on the blog. As of June 15, 2023, all articles referenced by Your Affiant are still publicly viewable on the blog.

Boyne's use of his computer system to engage in a course of conduct targeted at Judge Moukawsher caused him to fear for his physical safety as well as to suffer emotional distress, annoyance and alarm. Boyne's threatening statements were intentionally directed at Judge Moukawsher and disclosed his personal identifying information, for no legitimate purpose, and were intended to cause terror on the basis of his perceived religion, as evidenced by the threats upon his life involving firearms. The posts in fact caused terror, as evidenced by the statements of other members of the bench and practicing Connecticut Attorneys, as well as by the initiation of an investigation by the Judicial Branch administration.

Therefore, Your Affiant requests an arrest warrant be issued for Four Counts of Stalking in the 1st Degree, in violation of General Statutes § 53a-181c(a)(4), One Count of Electronic Stalking, in violation of General Statutes § 53a-181f(a)(1), One Count of Electronic Stalking, in violation of General Statutes § 53a-181f(a)(2), for Paul A Boyne DOB 6/4/61 of 8105 Creekview Drive in Springfield, Virginia.

Date 6/15/23		Signed *(Affiant)*	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn to before me on *(Date)* 06-15-2023	Signed *(Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)*	
Reviewed *(Prosecutorial Official)*	Date 6/15/2023	Reviewed *(Judge/Judge Trial Referee)*	Date 7-17-23